

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					1 1		
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1	
	09/773,197	01/31/2001	Leland James Wiesehuegel	AUS920000945US1	4475		
7590		90 01/28/2004		EXAM	INER	1	
Robert H. Frantz P.O. Box 23324				NICHOLSON, ERIC K			
Oklahoma City, OK 73		OK 73123-2334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	١	
				3679		•	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.		Applicant(s)	
0.55	09/773,197		Wiesehuegel	//
Office Action Summary	Examiner	-	Art Unit	
	Nicholson		3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover s	sheet with the co	rrespondence add	ress - V
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, howeve within the statutory minim ill apply and will expire SI cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely um of thirty (30) days w K (6) MONTHS from the	filed  ill be considered timely. mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 /	<u> August 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for fo Ex parte Quayle,	rmal matters, pr 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the 33 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	າ.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirer	nent.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exar	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	_		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ed by the Examine	∍r.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	ction.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority document				
2. Certified copies of the priority document				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)		•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-	

\_

.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,197

Art Unit: 3679

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,606,603 to Joseph et al. in view of U.S. patent 5,303,379 to Khoyi et al..

Joseph et al. discloses the claimed device of a method and apparatus for ordering items using an electronic catalogs by providing electronic catalogs of information for bid or purchasing through an online auction or bidding system, see column 4, lines 5-30 and column 6, lines 6-9 and 45-50. The system is intended to function on a business-to-business platform (column 1, lines 55-60) and is considered to be a portal arrangement (column 5, line 40). The catalog apparatus provides at least two repositories of information sets and data items indexed to market identifiers, see column 4, lines 25-36; column 5, lines 35-40 and column 6, lines 10-17. The catalog apparatus and method promotes the information on the system server in response to authorization of the trader, see column 6, lines 45-50; column 7, lines 3-13 and column 9, lines 1-15 The catalog apparatus and method present the contents to one or more online bidders by internet auction, see column 9, line15-20 and further, such presentation which would also be considered to be

Art Unit: 3679

inherent in merely just having a web site posted on the internet. While Joseph et al. does discuss "automatically" receiving the catalog data from the supplier (column 10, lines 6-7 and 18-20) he does not disclose dynamically linking the information sets to part numbers for the products nor synchronizing the contents of the server with the repositories. However such is considered to be known as evidenced by Khoyi et al. which discloses that it is known in the art to provide a similar type catalog application by way of providing at least two repositories of information sets and data items; dynamically linking the information sets and data items to part numbers for available products; (column 3, lines 45-60) and synchronizing contents of the repositories such that all information sets and data items within all repositories represent full information sets of most recently created data items (column 3, lines 65-70 continuing to column 4, lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dynamically link the information sets of Joseph et al. and synchronizing contents of the repositories such that all information sets and data items within all repositories represent full information as taught by Khoyi et al. in order to provide a quicker updated information in a timely form so that each user has the most recent version of a particular body of data, see column 2, lines 1-15.

As to claims 2,7 and 12 see Khoyi et al. column 4, lines 1-4, wherein the step of synchronizing is performed on a periodic basis.

As to claims 3,8 and 13 see Khoyi et al. column 4, lines 2-3, wherein the step of synchronizing is performed responsive to a request for the information sets in any of the repositories such as by being opened, displayed or edited.

As to claims 4,9 and 14 see Khoyi et al. column 13, lines 30-63 wherein the step of providing a list to a user is viewed as a spreadsheet with text or numerical information and as noted on column 3, lines 65-66 such information is dynamically linked.

As to claims 5, 10 and 15 see Khoyi et al. column 3, line 65 which indicates that the data can be statically linked and column 42, lines 10-20 which further indicates the step of saving a copy of an information set linked such that the saved copy is statically linked to the most recently created data items.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,606,603 to Joseph et al. in view of U.S. patent 5,117,354 to Long et al..

As noted above, Joseph et al. discloses the claimed device of a method and apparatus for ordering items using an electronic catalogs by providing electronic

Art Unit: 3679

catalogs of information for bid or purchasing through an online auction or bidding system, see column 4, lines 5-30 and column 6, lines 6-9 and 45-50. The system is intended to function on a business-to-business platform (column 1, lines 55-60) and is considered to be a portal arrangement (column 5, line 40). The catalog apparatus provides at least two repositories of information sets and data items indexed to market identifiers, see column 4, lines 25-36; column 5, lines 35-40 and column 6, lines 10-17. The catalog apparatus and method promotes the information on the system server in response to authorization of the trader, see column 6, lines 45-50; column 7, lines 3-13 and column 9, lines 1-15. The catalog apparatus and method present the contents to one or more online bidders by internet auction, see column 9, line15-20 and further, such presentation which would also be considered to be inherent in merely just having a web site posted on the internet. While Joseph et al. does discuss "automatically" receiving the catalog data from the supplier (column 10, lines 6-7 and 18-20) he does not disclose dynamically linking the information sets to part numbers for the products nor synchronizing the contents of the server with the repositories. However such is considered to be known as evidenced by Long et al. which discloses that it is known in the art to provide a similar type catalog application by way of providing at least two repositories of information sets and data items; dynamically linking the information sets and data

Art Unit: 3679

synchronizing contents of the repositories such that all information sets and data items within all repositories represent full information sets of most recently created data items (column 4, lines 30-35; column 9, lines 30-40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dynamically link the information sets of Joseph et al. and synchronizing contents of the repositories such that all information sets and data items within all repositories represent full information as taught by Long et al. in order to provide information in a prompt and expeditious manner while lowering the probability of erroneous price quotes and incorrect errors, see column 3, lines 1-3.

As to claims 2,7 and 12 see Long et al. column 4, lines 30-35; column 9, lines 30-40, wherein the step of synchronizing is performed on a periodic basis.

As to claims 4,9 and 14 see Long et al. column 4, lines 20-25 wherein the step of providing a list to a user such information is dynamically linked.

As to claims 5, 10 and 15 see Long et al. column 8, lines 25-35 which indicates the data can be statically linked and column 42, lines 10-20 which further indicates the step of saving a copy of an information set

linked such that the saved copy is statically linked to the most recently created data items.

## **Conclusion**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been reviewed but are deemed moot in view of the new art and rejection.

Applicant's to the claims necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 09/773,197

Art Unit: 3679

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

Page 8

this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The newly cited art disclose electronic catalog systems, all

containing various features of the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0829. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays

from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose

telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ekn

11/12/2003

Eric K. Nicholson

Primary Examiner

**Technology Center 3600**